

STEVE W. BERMAN (*Pro Hac Vice*)  
THOMAS E. LOESER (202724)  
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP  
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300  
Seattle, WA 98101  
Telephone: (206) 623-7292  
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594  
steve@hbsslaw.com  
toml@hbsslaw.com

ALI ABTAHI (224688)  
IDENE SAAM (258741)  
ABTAHI LAW FIRM  
1528 S. El Camino Real, Suite 204  
San Mateo, CA 94402  
Tel: (650) 341-1300  
Fax: (650) 341-1303  
aabtahi@abtahilaw.com  
isaam@abtahilaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Proposed Class

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MAUDER and ALICE CHAO;  
DEOGENESO and GLORINA PALUGOD;

and

MARITZA PINEL,

on behalf of themselves and all others similarly  
situated,

Plaintiffs,

v.

AURORA LOAN SERVICES, LLC,

Defendant.

No. CV-10-3383-SBA  
CV-10-3118-SBA

JOINT STIPULATED REQUEST TO  
EXTEND PAGE LIMIT FOR CLASS  
CERTIFICATION BRIEFING

Judge: Hon. Sandra B. Armstrong

1 WHEREAS, the Court's standing order sets page limitations for Class certification briefing  
2 at 15 pages for the opening brief, 15 pages for the opposition and 10 pages for the reply; and

3 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs and Defendant request that these page limits be extended as set forth  
4 below (20 pages / 25 pages / 17 pages),

5 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs do not anticipate that their opening brief will exceed 20 pages, but  
6 Plaintiffs have no objection to Defendant's request for 25pages in opposition, provided the Court's  
7 established briefing ratio of 15/15/10 is maintained for the reply;

8 ACCORDINGLY, the parties, Plaintiffs Mauder and Alice Chao, Deogeneso and Glorina  
9 Palugod, and Martiza Pinel, and Defendant Aurora Loan Services LLC through their respective  
10 attorneys, hereby stipulate and request that the Court extend the page limitations for Plaintiffs'  
11 Motion for Class Certification as follows:

12  
13 Plaintiffs' Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification: 20 pages  
14 Defendant's Memorandum in Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification: 25 pages  
15 Plaintiffs' Reply Memorandum in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification: 17 pages  
16

17 The parties believe the foregoing page limitations will allow adequate briefing of the  
18 factual and legal issues that will be raised by Plaintiffs' motion, while properly limiting the length  
19 of the pleadings and preserving the Court's established briefing ratio of 15/15/10 for the reply.  
20

21 Dated: September 12, 2012

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP

22  
23 By: /s/ Thomas Loeser

24 Thomas E. Loeser

25 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
26 MAUDER and ALICE CHAO;  
27 DEOGENESO and GLORINA  
28 PALUGOD

1 Dated: September 12, 2012

ABTAHI LAW FIRM

2  
3 By: /s/ Ali Abtahi  
Ali Abtahi

4 Attorneys for Plaintiff  
5 MARITZA PINEL

6 Dated: September 12, 2012

ARNOLD & PORTER LLP

7  
8 By: /s/ David B. Bergman  
9 David B. Bergman

10 Attorneys for Defendant  
11 AURORA LOAN SERVICES LLC


12 ORDER

13 Good cause appearing,

14 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT Plaintiffs' motion and Defendant's opposition are  
15 limited to 20 pages and Plaintiff's reply is limited to 15 pages.  
16

17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 DATED: September 18, 2012

19   
20 HON. SAUNDRA B. ARMSTRONG  
21 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**RULE 45 ATTESTATION**

In accordance with General Order 45, concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the other signatories and I shall maintain records to support this concurrence for subsequent production for the court if so ordered or for inspection upon request by a party.

\_\_\_\_\_  
/s/ Thomas E. Loeser  
Thomas E. Loeser  
Attorney for Plaintiffs